

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,086	11/19/2003	Reade Clemens	EH-10546A(01-465A)	9418	
34704	7590 06/15/2006		EXAM	INER	
BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C.			NGUYEN, PHONG H		
900 CHAPEL SUITE 1201	SIREEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW HAVEN, CT 06510			3724		
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006	DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			٤
4	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/718,086	CLEMENS, READE	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phong H. Nguyen	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 26 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in occ with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this	•	in the final rejection, wh	nichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	ig date of the final rejecti	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended and the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>26 May 2006</u> . A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any rep	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the
AMENDMENTS	,		. ,
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further of (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bell 		I E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:) ∐will not be entered, or b) [worlded below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good awas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary and
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the properties of the prop	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(nils to provide a (1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered become considered because the continuation of the co	out does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: _____.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Timothy V. Eley

Application No. 10/718,086

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments with respect to the objections to the Specification and the rejections under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph are not persuasive. The Examiner provided his doubts why one of ordinary skill in the art cannot make and use the claimed invention in Final office action dated on 02/23/2006.

Applicant's argument with respect to the rejection under Anderson is not persuasive. Since Applicant does not define the <17, 12, 24> direction, and the Anderson's diamond tip is similar to the Applicant's diamond tip which has a conical shape and aligns with the shank axis and Applicant's diamond tip is considered to be "within 8 degrees of a <17, 12, 24> direction"; therefore, a conical tip being on the axis of a shank is considered to be "within 8 degrees of a <17, 12, 24>" direction.